

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,902	02/17/2004	Robert L. Estes	ESTES-A-CIP	9633
7.	590 12/14/2006		EXAMINER	
Daniel J. Hudak, Jr.			CHIN, PAUL T	
Hudak, Shunk	& Farine Co. LPA			
Suite 307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2020 Front Street			3652	
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221 DATE MAILED: 12/1			DATE MAILED: 12/14/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- *		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/779,902	ESTES, ROBERT L.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		PAUL T. CHIN	3652				
The MAILING DATE of thi	s communication app		vith the correspondence addres	'S			
·	250100 500 DEDL	/ 10 OFT TO EVOIDE 6.1	AONTHAN OF THEFT (20) P	41/0			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRC - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If NO period for reply is specified above, th - Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than in earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	DM THE MAILING DA the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e of this communication. e maximum statutory period veriod for reply will, by statute three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this community ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status			•				
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed on 22 Se	entember 2006		•			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	· · · _ 	action is non-final.					
<u>'=</u>	·—						
closed in accordance with		•	· •	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
,		,					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pendi	•		•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	;				
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	wed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,8-12,15-1</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,8-12,15-17,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,7,13,14 and 18</u>	-20 is/are objected to).					
8) Claim(s) are subject	t to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	·	•			
Application Papers			÷.				
9) The specification is objected	ed to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17</u>	February 2004 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request the	at any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made (a) All b) Some * c) 1.	None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. s have been received.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<u> </u>	•	s have been received in .	Application No				
<u> </u>	•		n received in this National Stag	ne er			
 ,	•	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		,-			
* See the attached detailed C		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.				
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 			Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F			Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 22, 2006, has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,2,8-10,12,15,1-17, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kendall (3,692,330).

Kendall (3,692,330) discloses an attachment apparatus comprising a guide member having at least two side members or guide rails (26,27) (Fig. 2), said guide rails each having a slotted track, said slotted track having a height adjustment slot (see Fig. 2) having a slot length. Figure 2 shows a stepped slotted, which can be considered as having at least two arm slots, connected to and extending outwardly a predetermined distance from the height adjustment slot; and a connector member (30) *operatively* connected to and movable in the slotted track of each slot said guide rails and wherein each arm slot is connected to the height adjustment slot so that the connector member is

Application/Control Number: 10/779,902

Art Unit: 3652

movable between the height adjustment and each arm slot wherein each arm slot terminates at an end portion within the guide rail.

Re claims 2 and 12, the guide rails (26,27) are substantially parallel.

Re claim 8, the guide rails (6,6) are being attached to a wall of a child seat, which is a container for a child.

Re claim 15, Kendall (3,692,330) teaches a back plate (22).

Re claim 17, the two rails are in angle (see 23,24 of figure 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3,5,6, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kendall (3,692,330) Freedman et al. (6,398,302).

Kendall (3,692,330), as presented above, does not show the structural dimension of the elements such as the thickness of each rail and the distance between each slot.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to optimize the thickness of each rail as 0.25 or 0.3 inch on the Kendall's apparatus to provide as a safe apparatus. Moreover, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to optimize the length of the arm slot is at least 2 inches or the distance between the rails is about 4 inches, which are substantially applicable dimensions, on the Kendall's apparatus to conveniently adjust the connector (30) within the slots.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4,7,13,14, and 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3,5,6,8-12,15-17,21, and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL T. CHIN whose telephone number is (571) 272-6922. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS (7:30 -6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, EILEEN LILLIS can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PAUL T. CHIN Examiner

Paulch

Art Unit 3652